1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney	
3	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Chief, Criminal Division	
4	ANDREW M. SCOBLE (CABN 124940) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7249 FAX: (415) 436-7234 Email: andrew.scoble@usdoj.gov Attorneys for United States of America	
5		
7		
8		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	CASE NO. CR 12-0628 RS
14	v.	(PROPOSED) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM (OTHERWISE APPLICABLE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
15	CURTIS LEE JOHNSON, JR.,) CALCULATION)
16	Defendant.))
17))
18		
19	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
20	Following the filing of the Court's written order denying defendant's motion to suppress	
21	evidence, and pursuant to the direction of the Court in that written order, the parties appeared before the	
22	Court on December 10, 2013. Blair Greenberg appeared specially on behalf of Michael Gaines for the	
23	defendant. Assistant U.S. Attorney Andrew M. Scoble appeared on behalf of the government. AUSA	
24	Scoble reported that the United States has recently provided supplemental discovery, some of which is	
25	currently lodged with Colour Drop so that the defense can make arrangements for its copying, if desired.	
26	Counsel also represented that the parties have discussed a possible plea resolution but that there remains	
27	an unresolved legal issue regarding the effect of one of the defendant's prior convictions on his	
28	guidelines calculations. The parties therefore requested a continuance of the case to January 14, 2014 to	
	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME 1 CR 12-0628 RS	

Case 3:12-cr-00628-RS Document 47 Filed 12/11/13 Page 2 of 2

allow them to further research the legal issue and to determine whether they can reach a plea resolution of the case. The parties agreed in open court that the period from December 10, 2013 through and including January 14, 2014 may be excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation because failure to grant the continuance as requested would deny counsel for the defendant, as well as counsel for the government, the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

Based upon the representations of the parties, and for good cause appearing, THE COURT FINDS THAT the ends of justice served by granting a continuance from December 10, 2013 through and including January 14, 2014 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and that failure to grant such a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant as well as counsel for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Accordingly, THE COURT ORDERS THAT:

1. This case is continued to 2:30 p.m. on January 14, 2014 for change of plea or trial setting.

HON. RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge

2. The period from December 10, 2013 through and including January 14, 2014 is excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 DATED: 12/11/13